



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,530	03/17/2004	Jonathan Bingham	37087-8005.US01	3519
79975	7590	03/06/2009		
King & Spalding LLP P.O. Box 889 Belmont, CA 94002-0889			EXAMINER RIGGS II, LARRY D	
			ART UNIT 1631	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 03/06/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/802,530

**Applicant(s)**

BINGHAM ET AL.

**Examiner**

LARRY D. RIGGS II

**Art Unit**

1631

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LARRY D. RIGGS II.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Susan Harlocker.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 19 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lorraine et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed proposed amendments to the instant claims. The current rejections under 35 USC 112, Second Paragraph, 101 and 103 were discussed in light of the proposed amendments. Examiner Riggs understood the proposed amendments and discussed potential language regarding the current 101 rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/ERIC S. DEJONG/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631